



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,837	08/31/2001	Semir S. Haddad	01-S-016 (STMI01-00021)	2810	
30425 STMICROELE	7590 06/19/2007 ECTRONICS, INC.	EXAMINER			
MAIL STATIO	N 2346	DUNN, MISHAWN N			
CARROLLTO	ONICS DRIVE N, TX 75006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
·					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	06/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/943,83	7	HADDAD, SEMIR S.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mishawn N		2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve will apply and will , cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed  he mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2006.							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accep drawing(s) bo ion is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	·	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/943,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Citta et al. (US Pat. No. 5,602,595) in further view of Zdepski (US Pat. No. 5,565,923).
- 3. Applicant's admitted prior art on pages 2-14 of the Specification, discloses the same digital video recorder capable of playing back a recorded program stream as specified in claims 1-20 of the present invention, the digital video recorder comprising a video processor capable of receiving an incoming program stream and converting said incoming program stream to a baseband signal capable of being displayed on a television associated with the digital video recorder; a storage disk; and a controller that multiplexes packetized elementary streams into a multiplexed program stream, the packetized elementary streams comprising PES packets of disparate size, the controller operable to receive the PES packets into a memory buffer, having a header and a payload, each header defines at least one of stream type, timing information and picture information, and associate and store ones of the at least one fixed-size program packets into the multiplexed program stream in the storage disk; and the fixed size of at least one fixed-size program packets is a multiple of a sector size of the storage disk.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,837

Art Unit: 2621

The admitted prior art does not describe reformatting PES packets of disparate size into fixed-size program packets. However, Citta et al. discloses reformatting each of the received PES packets into at least one fixed-size program packet having a header and a payload (col. 1, lines 18-22; col. 2, lines 50-52).

Neither the admitted prior art, nor Citta et al. teach the header defining a payload content. However, Zdepski discloses teach the header defining a payload content (col. 5, lines 45-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to reformat the PES packets into fixed length packets having a having a header and a payload, said header defining a payload, in order to transmit the data more efficiently.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mishawn N. Dunn whose telephone number is 571-272-7635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mishawn Dunn June 7, 2007 Super And Aran Examples